#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below P2004,0138WO Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 16.02.2004 14.02.2005 PCT/DE2005/000248 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01L23/58, H01L23/13, H01L29/06 INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/DE2005/000248

Box No. I Basis of this opinion With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed 2. invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing format of material in written format in computer readable form time of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. Additional comments:

International application No.
PCT/DE2005/000248

Box	No. II Priority
1.	The following document has not yet been furnished:
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:
	The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because
	the International Searching Authority does not have in its
	possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been
	claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier
	application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the
	assumption that the relevant date (Rules $43bis1$ and $64.1$ ) is the
	claimed priority date.
ļ	
-	
.	
1	
1	

International application No.
PCT/DE2005/000248

1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Claims  Claims  1-4  NO  Inventive step (IS)  Claims  Claims  1-5  NO  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims  1-5  Claims  1-7  Claims  1-7  Claims  1-7  Claims  1-8  Claims  NO  2. Citations and explanations:  1 Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August	Box	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Inventive step (IS)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  1-5  NO  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  1-5  Claims  NO  2. Citations and explanations:  1 Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August	1. Statement					
Inventive step (IS)  Claims  Claims  1-5  No  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  1-5  Claims  No  2. Citations and explanations:  1 Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August		Novelty (N)	Claims 5	ÆS		
Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims  1-5  Claims  1-5  YES  NO  2. Citations and explanations:  1 Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August			Claims 1-4	NO.		
Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims  1-5  Claims  1-5  YES  NO  2. Citations and explanations:  1 Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August		Inventive step (IS)	Claims	ÆS		
Claims NO  2. Citations and explanations:  1. Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August		- -				
2. Citations and explanations:  1. Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August		Industrial applicability (TA)	1-5	ZEC		
2. Citations and explanations:  1 Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August		mousital application y (IA)				
1 Reference is made to the following documents:  D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August		<u> </u>				
D1: WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;  JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August	2.	-				
JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August		1 Refe	rence is made to the following documents:			
		D1:	WO 02/065548 A (INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG;			
			JANKE, MARCUS; LAACKMANN, PETER) 22 August	•		
2002 (2002–08–22)			2002 (2002-08-22)			
		•				
D2: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2003, no.		D2:	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2003, no.			
10, 8 October 2003 (2003-10-08) & JP 2003			10, 8 October 2003 (2003-10-08) & JP 2003			
174114 A (FUJI ELECTRIC CO LTD), 20 June			174114 A (FUJI ELECTRIC CO LTD), 20 June			
2003 (2003-06-20)			2003 (2003-06-20)			
2 The computer-generated translation of the		2 The	computer-generated translation of the			
Japanese published application D2 is appended		Japa	nese published application D2 is appended			
to the opinion as an annex. The translation is		to t	he opinion as an annex. The translation is			
also available online on the following		also	available online on the following			
Internet page		Inte	ernet page			
www.ipdl.ncipi.go.jp/homepg_e.ipdl.		www.	<pre>ipdl.ncipi.go.jp/homepg_e.ipdl.</pre>			
		•				
3 Novelty (PCT Article 33(2)) of claim 1		3 Nove	elty (PCT Article 33(2)) of claim 1	•		
D1 (figure 6) discloses an integrated circuit		. D1 (	(figure 6) discloses an integrated circuit			
arrangement (1, 2, 3) comprising a non-planar		arra	angement (1, 2, 3) comprising a non-planar			
substrate (1) on which an integrated circuit		subs	strate (1) on which an integrated circuit			
(2, 3) is formed on at least one side,		(2,	3) is formed on at least one side,			

International application No.
PCT/DE2005/000248

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	characterized in that that side of the
	substrate (1) which has the integrated circuit
•	(2, 3) is arranged on a carrier (5) and the
	carrier (5) is produced from a chemically
	resistant material. Therefore, the subject
	matter of claim 1 does not appear to meet the
·	requirements of PCT Article 33(2).
4	Dependent claims 2-5 do not contain any
	features which, in combination with the
	features of any claim to which they refer,
	meet the PCT requirements for novelty and
	inventive step. The reasons are as follows:
4.1	D1 (page 2: lines 16-19, figure 6) discloses
	that the chemically resistant material of the
	carrier (4, 5, 6) is formed from ceramic.
	Therefore, the subject matter of claim 2 does
	not appear to meet the requirements of PCT
	Article 33(2).
4.2	D1 (figure 6) discloses that the carrier (4,
	5, 6) has a planar surface on a side which is
	remote from the integrated circuit (1, 2, 3).
	Therefore, the subject matter of claim 3 does
	not appear to meet the requirements of PCT
	Article 33(2).
4.3	D1 (figure 6) discloses that the substrate (1)
	is connected to the carrier (4, 5, 6) over the
	entire area. Therefore, the subject matter of
	claim 4 does not appear to meet the

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No.
PCT/DE2005/000248

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

requirements of PCT Article 33(2).

As an alternative to the elevations (4, 6) disclosed in D1 (figure 6), a person skilled in the art would also use recesses (see D1,

page 5: lines 1-3) in order to produce the integrated circuit arrangement in a non-planar form. He would then readily place the integrated circuit arrangement in a concave cavity (see, for example, D2, paragraphs 14, 15, figure 3) which may also be larger than the integrated circuit and would thus completely hold the integrated circuit.

Therefore, the subject matter of claim 5 does not appear to meet the requirements of PCT

Article 33(3).

International application No.
PCT/DE2005/000248

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

1

Clarity of claim 1
The phrase "chemically resistant material" in claim 1 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader uncertain as to the meaning of the technical feature in question. For example, gold is "chemically resistant" to hydrochloric acid but not to aqua regia. As a result, the subject matter of said claim is not clearly defined (PCT Article 6).